

Solar for Zero Down: Too Good to Be True?

By Angela Lipanovich, *President & Founder, Estriatus Law, PC*



It sounds too good to be true that one can “go solar” for zero money down and a monthly payment guaranteed to be lower than a monthly utility electric bill. Some people think that offers to buy or benefit from these types of fully-financed solar systems are a scam. Even in educated, progressive areas such as the San Francisco Bay Area, there is the perception that solar sales offers, door-to-door solar canvassers or robo-callers are part of a scheme to defraud them.

Most people do not believe that offers to “go solar” on terms that would immediately enable them to save money every month could possibly be true. People think that benefiting from a fully-financed solar system will cost way more than solar companies tell them and that the offers are a sales gimmick designed to put money in the hands of solar companies or financial institutions. Yet, these are legitimate offers from reputable companies.

“

I always thought it was too good to be true. They were just trying to sell me something. And, there's going to be a big bill in the end.

- Jan Freiwald, PhD



© Kostia

Most people think that purchasing a fully-financed solar system is a sales gimmick designed to put money in the hands of solar companies or financial institutions.

Why Would Anyone Not Want to Save Money Every Month?

What if the majority of Americans trusted solar companies' offers to “go solar” and immediately start saving money every month? Logic infers that the majority of Americans would have solar on their property if only for financial reasons alone. Unless someone is able to afford a cash purchase, why would anyone not want to save money every month?

The question is how to educate people across the U.S. about how solar financing works. Part of this education should include that financing a solar system is as common and easy as financing a car purchase. Nobody thinks financing offers for car purchases are a scam. And, cars depreciate quickly,

whereas a solar system produces value daily for many years.

When I began working in the solar industry thirteen years ago, third-party financing for solar did not exist.

In 2006, the holy grail that people in the solar industry sought was a third-party financing structure that made solar affordable to people who could not purchase systems for cash. People in the industry believed this would cause the solar industry to grow exponentially. About a year and a half into my solar career, the first solar financing models came to the market.

In 2007, as General Counsel for Akeena Solar, I negotiated one of SunRun's first Master Agreements for their residential

solar financing product. Now, SunRun is the number one company in the U.S. that offers fully financed systems for homeowners. From 2007 to 2009, Akeena Solar also entered into agreements with several companies that offered the very first third-party financing for commercial solar projects. Everyone was on a learning curve when it came to developing those early documents and relationships.

Those emerging third-party solar financing companies did not depend on subsidies. Most were structured around the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) model where a company installs and owns a solar system on someone else's property to whom they sell the electricity the system generates over a period of time, often twenty or more years, with periodic options for the property owner to purchase the system. The others were based on the solar leasing model, which has a similar effect, but different structure as the equipment is leased based on monthly payments from the property owner. The development of third-party PPA and lease financing structures for solar solved the puzzle that existed thirteen years ago about how to offer customers to "go solar" through a fully financed product. These PPA and lease financing products gave solar companies the ability to offer customers, with predictable discounted electricity pricing, the ability to 'go green' and even includes options to purchase the solar system before the PPA or lease ends.

Solar PPAs and Leases are Now Commonplace

Countless third-party financing companies for solar now exist with each having a different focus/expertise from residential, to commercial, to affordable housing and beyond. Some companies set up a tranche of projects that they group together to be financed in one package, such as pooling

At this point in solar's transformation of our electric supply, most people are familiar with the acronym "PPA."

However, in case you missed it, in the PPA arrangement, a for-profit Special Purpose Entity (SPE) is set up that will own the solar system and sell the electricity produced from it, or lease the solar equipment to the customer in order for the Federal Investment Tax Credit (ITC) benefits to be utilized. To accomplish this model of financing, individual investors join in a business enterprise to develop the SPE for the solar project, and thus, financing is achieved by member investments, grants and tax incentives. Under this model, the SPE enters into a site lease agreement to keep its solar system on the customer's roof or adjacent property. Although the SPE makes a profit –e.g., all electricity sold to the customer must be sold at a fair market value (FMV) – customers can benefit from better electricity or lease pricing and overall terms than if they purchased the same amount of power from their local utility.

To make this type of financing model work, the SPE has one or more tax equity investors who take advantage of the tax benefits associated with owning a solar project. This is often accomplished by the SPE partnering with tax motivated investor(s) via a flip structure or sale/leaseback. In a flip, the SPE partners with the tax motivated investors in a new special purpose entity that owns and operates the project. Most of the benefit flows to and equity comes from the tax equity investors until fully monetized (reaches agreed-upon rate of return). Then, the allocation of benefits and majority ownership flips to the SPE (minimum 5 years). After the flip, the SPE can buy out the tax equity investors. In a sale/leaseback, the SPE installs the solar system and then sells it to tax investors who lease it back to the SPE as a lessee. The SPE is responsible for operating and maintaining the solar system and making lease payments to the tax investors.



© Brad Nixon

together financing for multiple solar projects. In other cases, a single larger project is financed using the PPA or lease financing model. In my law practice, I routinely set up PPA financing arrangements for small to mid-size solar projects.

Banks and Credit Unions at the Local and National Levels Almost All Provide Some Type of Solar Finance Product

Now, banks and credit unions almost all provide some type of solar finance product. In some cases, the bank's financing can provide better terms than a PPA or lease financed system. Again, only thirteen years ago, these banks were unfamiliar with how solar works and unwilling to provide traditional loans for it. Most solar installers and developers have developed a connection with their local bank and incorporate the bank's financing terms into their solar proposals for customers. There are now many financing options for different locations, people and circumstances. In many states, such as California, government financing is even available through an adder on property taxes known as Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE).

As a result, multiple ways now exist for large and small solar companies to provide residential and commercial property owners with fully-financed solar proposals. The solar company could be a large company with its own internal finance product structured on the PPA or lease type of financing model, such as SunRun, Vivant or Tesla. Or, it could be a local solar installer or developer utilizing a bank's traditional lending structure or PACE. Either way, it is now readily possible to go solar for zero money down and a guaranteed monthly payment lower than the utility's electric bill. The third-party financed solar system has withstood the test of time and been proven to



Most solar installers and developers have developed a connection with their local bank and incorporate the bank's financing terms into their solar proposals for customers.

work. So, why do most consumers believe that these offers from solar companies are a scam?

A disconnect exists between what those in the solar industry have learned over the last decade and what most Americans hear, believe and understand.

A dire education campaign is needed to catch up the American public with what is happening in the solar industry. The industry cannot take for granted something that the public does not understand. Solar should be just as integral to every property as water and plumbing. With the availability of third-party financing, this is now possible in almost every state in the U.S. with people saving money starting on day one after their system's installation. Solar with zero money down is not too good to be true, and if we change this misunderstanding, we can create a massive rollout of third-

party financed solar systems and start saving people money while protecting the planet. ■

About the Author

Angela Lipanovich is a lawyer and business executive exclusively for renewable energy, cleantech and sustainable businesses. Her practice Estriatus Law counsels critical legal issues such as strategic planning, M&A, corporate formations/restructuring, PPA transactional documents, licensing, supply, manufacturing, and product distribution, project finance documents, dispute resolution, policy matters, trade secret and customer list protection, non-competition issues, employee obligations and sales representative relationships. Angela also serves on the ASES Board of Directors.